

Equality Impact Assessment

Assessment Of: Residential Site Licence Fees	
oxtimes Policy $oxtimes$ Strategy $oxtimes$ Function $oxtimes$ Service	⊠ New
☐ Other:	☐ Already exists / review ☐ Changing
Directorate: Neighbourhoods	Assessment carried out by: David Eaton
Service Area: Environmental Health	Job Role: Head of Neighbourhoods
Version / Date of Sign Off by Director:	26/09/2025

Step 1: What do we want to do?

This assessment should be started at the beginning of the process by someone with a good knowledge of the proposal and service area, and sufficient influence over the proposal. It is good practice to take a team approach to completing the equality impact assessment. Please contact the Policy Officer early for advice.

1.1 What are the aims and objectives/purpose of this proposal?

Briefly explain the purpose of the proposal and why it is needed. Describe who it is aimed at and the intended aims / outcomes. Where known also summarise the key actions you plan to undertake. Please use <u>plain English</u>, avoiding jargon and acronyms. Equality Impact Assessments are viewed by a wide range of people including decision-makers and the wider public.

The proposal is to adopt a charging scheme for residential mobile homes sites in Teignbridge. The scheme will not apply to holiday caravan or tented sites. There are currently approximately 1,400 mobile homes in the district on licensed sites. The scheme will implement charges on the site owners you run the sites rather than the residents of the site.

The Mobile Home Act 2013 introduced a regime where Councils can charge for a number of activities that we carry out on park home sites.

- considering applications for the issue or transfer of a site licence;
- considering applications for altering conditions in a site licence
- administration and monitoring of site licences.

The administration and monitoring fee proposed will be an annual charge based on the level of risk and multiplied by the number of units of accommodation.

- During the first year of the scheme the base fee will be calculated on the size of site, by number of units.
- In subsequent years the fee will be based on a risk rating that takes into account the level of compliance and management of a site

The scheme will enable Teignbridge to monitor site licence compliance more effectively. By improving standards this will ensure residents' health and safety are better protected and the value of their homes safeguarded.

This proposal is also part of the programme of work looking at opportunities to charge fees for discretionary work or increase fees for our statutory work.

1.2 Who will the proposal have the potential to affect?

□ Service users	☐ The w	ider community		☐ Teignbridge workforce	
	•				
1.3 Will the propos	1.3 Will the proposal have an equality impact?				
Could the proposal affect access levels of representation or participation in a service, or does it have the potential to change e.g. quality of life: health, education, or standard of living etc.?					
f 'No' explain why you are sure there will be no equality impact, then skip steps 2-4 and request review by your manager.					
If 'Yes' complete the rest of this assessment.					
 -			_		

Step 2: What information do we have?

2.1 What data or evidence is there which tells us who is, or could be affected?

Please use this section to demonstrate an understanding of who could be affected by the proposal. Include general population data where appropriate, and information about people who will be affected with particular reference to protected and other relevant characteristics (listed in 2.2).

Use one row for each evidence source and say which characteristic(s) it relates to. You can include a mix of qualitative and quantitative data - from national research, local data or previous consultations and engagement activities.

Outline whether there are any over or under representation of equality groups within your service - don't forget to benchmark to local population where appropriate.

For workforce / management of change proposals you will need to look at the diversity of the affected team(s) using available evidence such as the employee profile data. Identify any under/over-representation for age; disability; gender reassignment; marriage and civil partnership; pregnancy and maternity; race; religion or belief; sex; and sexual orientation. Please see: Equality Act 2010 (legislation.gov.uk).

Data / Evidence Source	Summary of what this tells us
[Include a reference where known]	
Register of mobile home sites	Location, number of units and site owner contact details.
Records of Service Requests	Number, type and location of complaints made by residents about the conditions on their site
Consultation survey of site licence holders	Data on the age, gender, ethnicity and disability of site owners following the consultation.
Private Sector Housing	Number of applications for specific grant schemes to improve conditions of mobile homes.

Data / Evidence Source [Include a reference where known]	Summary of v	vhat this tells us
	residents who Over 57% of r health condit accessing dis	t park homes are occupied by are over the age of 60 (97%). esidents suffer from a long-term ion with a number of residents abled facilities grants to enable ain independent in their home.
Additional comments:		
 2.2 Do you currently monitor characteristics? Age Marriage and Civil Partnership Religion or Belief 	□ Disability □ Pregnancy/Maternity □ Sex	□ Gender Reassignment □ Race □ Sexual Orientation
2.3 Are there any gaps in the		
Where there are gaps in the evidence nclude an equality action to find out in assessment without the information, but assessment later. If you are unable to f	n section 4.2 below. This doesn't ut you need to follow up the act	t mean that you can't complete the tion and if necessary, review the
For workforce related proposals all rele e.g. pregnancy/maternity). For smalle known/not disclosed may require action	er teams diversity data may be r	edacted. A high proportion of not
,,	on to address and tactim, mon	mennanen meeded.

2.4 How have you involved communities and groups that could be affected?

You will nearly always need to involve and consult with internal and external stakeholders during your assessment. The extent of the engagement will depend on the nature of the proposal or change. This should usually include individuals and groups representing different relevant protected characteristics. Please include details of any completed engagement and consultation and how representative this has been of Teignbridge's diverse communities.

Include the main findings of any engagement and consultation in Section 2.1 above.

If you are managing a workforce change process or restructure please refer to HR for advice on how to consult and engage with employees. Relevant stakeholders for engagement about workforce changes may include e.g. staff-led groups, trades unions as well as affected staff.

The proposal will impact the site owners who will be part of the consultation. A poster will be displayed on site informing residents of the scheme when approved.

2.5 How will engagement with stakeholders continue?

Explain how you will continue to engage with stakeholders throughout the course of planning and delivery. Please describe where more engagement and consultation is required and set out how you intend to undertake it. Include any targeted work to seek the views of under-represented groups. If you do not intend to undertake it, please set out your justification. You can ask the Consultation Officer for help in targeting particular groups.

On going monitoring of our compliance and complaints will continue once the scheme is implemented. An annual review will take place of the fees including any feedback from stakeholders.

Step 3: Who might the proposal impact?

Analysis of impacts must be rigorous. Please demonstrate your analysis of any impacts of the proposal in this section, referring to evidence you have gathered above and the characteristics protected by the Equality Act 2010. Also include details of existing issues for particular groups that you are aware of and are seeking to address or mitigate through this proposal.

3.1 Does the proposal have any potentially adverse impacts on people on the basis of their protected or other relevant characteristics?

Consider sub-categories (different kinds of disability, ethnic background etc.) and how people with combined characteristics (e.g. young women) might have particular needs or experience particular kinds of disadvantage.

Where mitigations indicate a follow-on action, include this in the 'Action Plan' Section 4.2 below.

GENERAL COMMENTS (highlight any potential issues that might impact all or many groups)				
PROTECTED CHARACT	, 			
Age: Young People	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes □ No ☒ Neutral □			
Potential impacts:				
Mitigations:				
Age: Older People	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes $oxtimes$ No $oxtimes$ Neutral $oxtimes$			
Potential impacts:	Park Home sites generally permit only people above 55 to be in			
	residence. Therefore, if any fee is passed on by the site owner to residents			
	this will have an impact on this specific group.			
Mitigations:				
Disability	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes \square No \square Neutral \boxtimes			
Potential impacts:				
Mitigations:				
Sex	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes \square No \square Neutral \boxtimes			
Potential impacts:				
Mitigations:				
Sexual orientation	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes \square No \square Neutral \boxtimes			
Potential impacts:				
Mitigations:				
Pregnancy /	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes \square No \square Neutral \boxtimes			
Maternity				
Potential impacts:				

Mitigations:	
Gender	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes □ No □ Neutral ⊠
reassignment	
Potential impacts:	
Mitigations:	
Race	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes \square No \square Neutral \boxtimes
Potential impacts:	
Mitigations:	
Religion or	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes \square No \square Neutral \boxtimes
Belief	
Potential impacts:	
Mitigations:	
Marriage &	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes \square No \square Neutral \boxtimes
civil partnership	
Potential impacts:	
Mitigations:	

OTHER RELEVANT CHARACTERISTICS

Socio-Economic	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes \square No \square Neutral \boxtimes
(deprivation)	
Potential impacts:	
Mitigations:	
Other group(s)	
Please add additional	
rows below to detail	
the impact for other	
relevant groups as	
appropriate e.g.	
Asylums and	
Refugees;	
Rural/Urban	
Communities,	
Homelessness, Digital	
Exclusion, Access To	
Transport	
Potential impacts:	
Mitigations:	

3.2 Does the proposal create any benefits for people on the basis of their protected or other relevant characteristics?

Outline any potential benefits of the proposal and how they can be maximised. Identify how the proposal will support our <u>Public Sector Equality Duty</u> to:

- ✓ Eliminate unlawful discrimination for a protected group
- ✓ Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and those who don't
- ✓ Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who don't

Not applicable	Not applicable		
----------------	----------------	--	--

Step 4: Impact

4.1 How has the equality impact assessment informed or changed the proposal?

What are the main conclusions of this assessment? Use this section to provide an overview of your findings. This content should be used as a summary in reports, where this full assessment is included as an appendix.

If you have identified any significant negative impacts which cannot be mitigated, provide a justification showing how the proposal is proportionate, necessary and appropriate despite this.

Summary of significant negative impacts and how they can be mitigated or justified:

Impact on older people if fees are passed on by site owners. To mitigate advice and support provided to residents of the site if fees are increased without following correct process.

Summary of positive impacts / opportunities to promote the Public Sector Equality Duty:

4.2 Action Plan

Use this section to set out any actions you have identified to improve data, mitigate issues, or maximise opportunities etc. If an action is to meet the needs of a particular protected group please specify this.

Improvement / action required	Responsible Officer	Timescale
Communicate with Residents on the agreed scheme	Joanne White	November
		2025
Annual review of fees and compliance and complaint data	David Eaton	November
		2026
Review policy on a 3 yearly basis	David Eaton	November
		2028

4.3 How will the impact of your proposal and actions be measured?

How will you know if have been successful? Once the activity has been implemented this equality impact assessment should be periodically reviewed to make sure your changes have been effective and your approach is still appropriate. Include the timescale for review in your action plan above.

Monitor relevant equality data on consultation responses.
Monitor any complaints about service delivery
Monitor the improvement in site conditions

4.4	Is there an opportunity to promote positive attitudes and good relations			
between different groups and communities?				

Step 5: Review & Sign-Off

ElAs should only be marked as reviewed when they provide sufficient information for decision-makers on the equalities impact of the proposal. Please seek review and feedback from management before requesting it to be signed off. All working drafts of ElAs and final signed-off ElAs should be saved in G:\GLOBAL\EIA Once signed-off please add the details to the 'EIA Register' of all council ElAs saved in the same directory.

Reviewed by Service Manager: Yes ⊠ No □ Instead was reviewed by:	Strategic Leadership Team Sign-Off: Neil Blaney Director of Place
Date: 19/09/2025	Date: 26/09/2025

Version 3 – August 2024